

**BHAUSAHEB LAHANE DNYANPRAKASH ARTS COLLEGE,  
PINJAR**

***Feedback Analysis 2016-2017***

*The IQAC has conducted the activity (Feedback) as an innovative practice in view of the overall development of the teaching and learning aspect of the college taking its all students as stakeholders in the move. Following heads were set as milestone to be achieved or as to be suggestive move to access.*

- I. Teacher's presentation and knowledge.*
- II. Innovative method adopted by the teacher.*
- III. Commitment by the students towards learning.*
- IV. Applicability of the curricular and course design.*
- V. Depth in the course / level of subject knowledge.*

**Rating Table**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Rating</b>			
		<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>
<i>1</i>	<i>Preparation &amp; Knowledge of teacher in class</i>	<i>34 (68%)</i>	<i>16 (32%)</i>	<i>00</i>	<i>00</i>
<i>2</i>	<i>Teachers method of Subject Presentation</i>	<i>13 (26%)</i>	<i>28 (56%)</i>	<i>09 (18%)</i>	<i>00</i>
<i>3</i>	<i>Commitment &amp; Sincerity of Teacher</i>	<i>21 (42%)</i>	<i>19 (38%)</i>	<i>10 (20%)</i>	<i>00</i>
<i>4</i>	<i>Applicability of course in Real Life</i>	<i>29 (58%)</i>	<i>16 (32%)</i>	<i>05 (10%)</i>	<i>00</i>
<i>5</i>	<i>Depth of Course for B.A.</i>	<i>16 (32%)</i>	<i>27 (54%)</i>	<i>07 (14%)</i>	<i>00</i>

*( Figures in bracket shows percentage of rating student )*

**Following rating of the conclusion is derived by the Feedback Analysis Committee headed by P.M.Tayde, (Asst. Prof. in Economics)**

**CONCLUSIONS:-**

- 1) First question was asked about Preparation and Knowledge of teacher in class room. Out of selected sample **68%** students says preparation and knowledge of teacher about classroom teaching was **VERY GOOD**, **32%** students says **GOOD**, nobody students rates to **SATISFACTORY** and **UNSATISFACTORY** preparation and knowledge of teacher in class.
- 2) Second question was asked about teacher`s method of subject presentation. From sample student **26%** says that teacher`s method of subject presentation was **VERY GOOD**. Another **56%** says **GOOD**, **18%** says **SATISFACTORY** and no one student explains about **UNSATISFACTORY**.
- 3) Through third question it was enquire from student that How is the commitment and sincerity of the teacher in teaching? Out of sample student **42%** says there was **VERY GOOD** commitment and sincerity of teacher in teaching. Rest of sample put their rating as fallows- **38% GOOD**, **20% satisfactory** and no one says about **UNSATISFACTORY**.
- 4) Fourth question was about applicability of course in real life in this contest **58%** students says that applicability of course in real life was **VERY GOOD**. **32%** student says **GOOD**, **10%** says **SATISFACTORY** and nobody explain about **UNSATISFACTORY**.
- 5) At last fifth question was about depth of course for BA. Against this question **32%** students says that depth of course is **VERY GOOD**, **54%** says depth of course is

**GOOD**, only 14% says depth of course is **SATISFACTORY** and nobody reply about **UNSATISFACTORY**.

*In this way here I present feedback of students about teachers and course. Remarks from students prove efficiency and sincerity of teacher.*

**Pinjar**

**Date: - 25th April 2017**

**Coordinator**

**Principal**